

K ENERGY AND K STABILITY ON HYPERSURFACES

ZHIQIN LU

Abstract. In this paper, we study the limiting properties of the K energy for smooth hypersurfaces in the projective spaces. Our result generalizes the result of Ding-Tian (W. Ding and G. Tian. Kähler-Einstein metrics and the generalized Futaki invariant. *Invent Math*, 110:315–335, 1992.) in the case of hypersurfaces. In particular, we allow the center fiber of a special degeneration (a degeneration by a one-parameter group) to have multiplicity great than 1.

(Zhiqin Lu) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,
IRVINE, IRVINE, CA 92697

E-mail address, Zhiqin Lu: zlu@math.uci.edu

Date: Aug 1st, 2001.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 58G03; Secondary: 32F05.

Key words and phrases. Mabuchi energy, Futaki invariants, and K -stability.

K ENERGY AND K STABILITY ON HYPERSURFACES

ZHIQIN LU

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. An explicit formula for the K energy	4
3. The limit of the derivative of the K energy	12
References	21

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we study the limiting property of the K energy on compact Kähler hypersurface of CP^n with positive first Chern class.

For a compact Kähler manifold with positive first Chern class, one of the most important problems is the existence of Kähler-Einstein metric. If the manifold is a complex surface, the problem was solved in [5]. In higher dimensions, the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics is related to certain geometric stability(cf. [7]).

The notation K -stability was introduced in [7] as a necessary condition to the existence of Kähler-Einstein metric. It is defined as follows:

Let M be a compact Kähler manifold in CP^n such that there is a constant $\alpha > 0$ with $\alpha\omega_{FS} \in c_1(X)$. M has this property if it is Fano and if the embedding is given by the anticanonical bundle. Let $\sigma(t)$ be a one parameter family of automorphisms of CP^n . We write

$$\sigma(t)[Z_0, \dots, Z_n] = [t^{\lambda_0} Z_0, \dots, t^{\lambda_n} Z_n]$$

for integers $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_n$ with $\sum \lambda_i = 0$. Then we can define a family of metrics $\omega_t = \sigma(t)^*\omega_{FS}$ on M such that $\alpha\omega_t \in c_1(M)$. Let $\mathcal{M}(\omega, \omega_t)$ be the K energy with respect to the metric $\alpha\omega$ and $\alpha\omega_t$ (for the definition of the K energy defined by K , see next section). It is known that

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{M}(\omega, \omega_t) = A$$

Date: May 12, 2001.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 53A30; Secondary: 32C16.

Key words and phrases. Mabuchi energy, Futaki invariants, and K -stability.

Research supported by NSF grant DMS 9971506.

exists [7]. If $\mathcal{M}(\omega, \omega_t)$ has a lower bound, then $A \geq 0$. Since the one parameter family of automorphisms $\sigma(t)$ is generated by the holomorphic vector field $X = \sum \lambda_i Z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial Z_i}$, we come up with the following definition [7]:

Definition 1.1. *We say that M is K stable if for any holomorphic vector field X on CP^n with $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_n$ integers,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{M}(\omega, \omega_t) > 0.$$

If the above quantity is nonnegative for all vectors X on CP^n , we say M is K semistable.

The general setting which relates the K energy and the Futaki invariant is as follows: Let M be a hypersurface of CP^n . Let X be the vector field of CP^n in Definition 1.1. Suppose M is defined by a polynomial $F = 0$ and let $F_t = \sigma(-t)^* F$. The degeneration of M by X is defined as the hypersurface in $\mathbb{C} \times CP^n$ by $G(t, \dots) = F_t(\dots) = 0$. The center fiber of the degeneration is defined as the intersection of the degeneration with the set $\{0\} \times CP^n$, excluding the factor $t^\alpha = 0$.

Remark 1.1. Definition 1.1 is a little bit more general than that in [7]. In fact, in [1] or [7], the quantity A is represented as the (real part) of the (generalized) Futaki invariant of the center fiber if the center fiber is a normal variety. However, the exact same proof can go through if we assume that the center fiber does not have multiplicity greater than 1 (that implies, one can define the “Futaki” invariant the same as the usual one for algebraic cycles with multiplicity 1).

Remark 1.2. For our application, we only need the notion of K semistability, since our first result only works on a dense subset of all vector fields on CP^n . Thus for the sake of simplicity, in this paper, we will use the terminology K stability for both K stability and K semistability. On the other hand, when we consider the limiting property of the K energy, it doesn’t make much difference assuming t is real or complex. Thus in the rest of this paper, we always assume that t is a real number.

The motivation of our work is to find an effective way to verify the K stability. In general, this is a harder problem than the problem of finding an effective way to compute the Futaki invariant, because the K energy is the nonlinear version of the “Futaki” invariant (see [3]). By the work of [1] or [7], if the center fiber is normal, the quantity A is the real part of the corresponding Futaki invariant. In this paper, we consider the case where M is a hypersurface in CP^n of degree less than or equal to n . Then M is a Fano variety and one can compute the Futaki invariant by a very simple formula in [2] (see also [8] by a completely different method).

The technical difficulty in the proof is that the degeneration of a hypersurface under a one parameter subgroup is “generically” an algebraic cycle of multiplicity greater than 1. If that is the case, we would not be able

to generalize the argument in [1] directly. In fact, our result shows that the limit may *not* depend on the center fiber alone. This is on one side unexpected by the work of [1]. On the other side, one realizes that if the center fiber is a union of hyperplanes, it contains too little information of the degeneration so that extra piece of information from the degeneration is needed.

In this paper, we overcome the above difficulty in the case that the center fiber is of multiplicity great than one. We first represent the K energy into an explicitly formula(Theorem 2.1). Then we carefully analyze the integrand in the formula by using some analytic techniques and a recently result of Phong and Sturm [4] to get the conclusion.

Our result can be generalized to case of complete intersections and even general projective manifold. The results will appear in a subsequent paper.

Before stating the main result, we setup some notations: let M be defined by the zeros of the polynomial

$$(1.2) \quad F = \sum_{i=0}^p a_i Z_0^{\alpha_0^i} \cdots Z_n^{\alpha_n^i}$$

of degree d . Let $(\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_n)$ be the rational numbers satisfying $\sum \lambda_i = 0$. Let

$$(1.3) \quad \lambda = \text{Max}_{0 \leq i \leq p} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_k \alpha_k^i \right).$$

Let

$$(1.4) \quad \varphi(x_0, \dots, x_n) = \text{Min}_{0 \leq i \leq p} \left(- \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_k \alpha_k^i + \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k^i x_k \right),$$

and let

$$(1.5) \quad \varphi_i(x) = \varphi(0, \dots, x_i, \dots, 0).$$

Then we have the following

Theorem 1.1. *For “generic” (See section 3 for details) $(\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_n)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} t \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{M}(t) \\ &= \frac{2}{d} \left(- \frac{\lambda(d-1)(n+1)}{n} + \sum_{i=0}^n \int_0^\infty \varphi'_i(x) (\varphi'_i(x) - 1) dx \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since for a Kähler-Einstein manifold, the K energy has a lower bound, we have the following:

Theorem 1.2. *If M is a Kähler-Einstein hypersurface with positive first Chern class, then we have*

$$-\frac{\lambda(d-1)(n+1)}{n} + \sum_{i=0}^n \int_0^\infty \varphi'_i(x)(\varphi'_i(x) - 1)dx \geq 0$$

for any $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\sum \lambda_i = 0$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The expression in the theorem is continuous and homogeneous with respect to $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_n$. So by taking the limit, we proved that the inequality is valid for any choice of $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$. $\square$

Remark 1.3. As proved in Theorem 3.1, one can define the generalized Futaki invariant for the degeneration as $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t\mathcal{M}'(t)$. When the center fiber is a normal variety, it is the generalized Futaki invariant defined in [1] by the work of [2]. However, Theorem 1.2 is more powerful in the hypersurface case than that in [1] because of the flexibility of the choices of X .

Acknowledgment. The author thanks P. Li, D.H. Phong and G. Tian for the encouragement during the preparation of this paper. Special thanks to L. Katzarkov who helps the author clarify a lot of concepts in algebraic geometry.

2. AN EXPLICIT FORMULA FOR THE K ENERGY

In this section, we give an explicit formula for the K energy of smooth hypersurfaces of CP^n .

First, let's recall the definition of the K energy [3]. Let M be a compact Kähler manifold with positive first Chern class $c_1(M)$. Let $\omega_0, \omega_1 \in c_1(M)$ and let $\omega_1 = \omega_0 + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial\bar{\partial}\xi$ for a smooth function ξ . We put $\omega_s = \omega_0 + s\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial\bar{\partial}\xi$ and define

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{M}(\omega_0, \omega_1) = -\frac{1}{V} \int_0^1 \left(\int_X \xi(R(\omega_s) - m)\omega_s^m \right) ds,$$

where $R(\omega_s)$ is the scalar curvature of the metric, m is the complex dimension of M and V is the volume of X with respect to ω_0 . The functional $\mathcal{M}$, which is called the K energy by Mabuchi, has the properties:

1. $\mathcal{M}(\omega_0, \omega_1) = -\mathcal{M}(\omega_1, \omega_0)$,
2. $\mathcal{M}(\omega_0, \omega_1) + \mathcal{M}(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \mathcal{M}(\omega_0, \omega_2)$,

where $\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2 \in c_1(X)$.

From now on, let's assume that ω is the Kähler form of the Fubini-Study metric of CP^n . Let M be a hypersurface in CP^n defined by the polynomial $F = 0$ of degree d . Of course, we need $d \leq n$ to insure that M is Fano. Let $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_n$ be integers such that $\sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i = 0$. Let F_t be the polynomial defined by

$$F_t(Z_0, \dots, Z_n) = F(t^{-\lambda_0} Z_0, \dots, t^{-\lambda_n} Z_n),$$

and let M_t be the hypersurface defined by the zero set of F_t . Geometrically, M_t is the image of M under the automorphism $\sigma(t)$ generated by the holomorphic vector field $X = \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i Z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial Z_i}$. The automorphisms $\sigma(t)$ can be written as $\sigma(t)([Z_0, \dots, Z_n]) = [t^{\sigma_0} Z_0, \dots, t^{\sigma_n} Z_n]$. Using these automorphisms, one can define a family of Kähler forms $\omega_t = \sigma(t)^* \omega$ on M . It is easy to see that both $(n-d+1)\omega$ and $(n-d+1)\omega_t$ are Kähler forms of M in the cohomological class $c_1(M)$. Define $\mathcal{M}(t) = \mathcal{M}((n-d+1)\omega, (n-d+1)\omega_t)$. It is a well known result [3] that if M admits a Kähler-Einstein metric, then $\mathcal{M}(t)$ has a lower bound.

Proposition 2.1. *Using the notations as above, we have*

$$t \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{M}(t) = \frac{2(n-1)}{d} \int_{M_t} (\text{Ric}(\omega|_{M_t}) - (n-d+1)\omega|_{M_t}) \theta \omega^{n-2},$$

where θ is defined as

$$(2.2) \quad \theta = -\frac{\sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i |Z_i|^2}{\sum_{i=0}^n |Z_i|^2},$$

and $\text{Ric}(\omega|_{M_t})$ is the Ricci curvature of $\omega|_{M_t}$.

Proof. It basically follows from the two properties of the K energy three lines under the equation (2.1). See [1, Lemma 2.1] for details. $\square$

The following lemma can be found in [6], we include the proof here for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 2.1. *Let M be the smooth hypersurface defined as the zero of $\{F = 0\}$. We use ω to denote the Fubini-Study metric on CP^n as well as the Kähler form on M , which is the restriction of ω on M . Let*

$$(2.3) \quad \xi = \log \frac{|\nabla F|^2}{(\sum_{i=0}^n |Z_i|)^{2(d-1)}},$$

where $[Z_0, \dots, Z_n]$ is the homogeneous coordinate in CP^n . Then we have

$$(2.4) \quad \text{Ric}(\omega) - (n-d+1)\omega = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \xi.$$

Proof. Without losing generality, we prove the above lemma on the open set $U_0 = \{[Z_0, \dots, Z_n] \mid |Z_0| > \frac{1}{2}|Z_j|, j = 1, \dots, n\}$ in CP^n . The local coordinate system on U_0 is $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ where $z_i = Z_i/Z_0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Under this coordinate system, the Fubini-Study metric can be written as

$$(2.5) \quad \omega = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} g_{i\bar{j}} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left(\frac{\delta_{ij}}{1+|z|^2} - \frac{z_j \bar{z}_i}{(1+|z|^2)^2} \right) dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j,$$

where $|z|^2 = \sum |z_i|^2$. Let's further assume that in a small open set V of U_0 , from the equation $F = 0$, we can solve z_1 . Namely,

$$(2.6) \quad z_1 = z_1(z_2, \dots, z_n)$$

for a holomorphic function z_1 . Let the Kähler form ω on V , under the local coordinate system $(z_2, \dots, z_n)$, be written as

$$\omega = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \sum_{i,j=2}^n \tilde{g}_{i\bar{j}} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j,$$

and let $a_i = \frac{\partial z_1}{\partial z_i}$, $i = 2, \dots, n$. Then by (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}_{i\bar{j}} &= \frac{\delta_{ij}}{1 + |z|^2} - \frac{z_j \bar{z}_i}{(1 + |z|^2)^2} - \frac{z_j \bar{z}_1 a_i}{(1 + |z|^2)^2} - \frac{z_1 \bar{z}_i \bar{a}_j}{(1 + |z|^2)^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{a_i \bar{a}_j}{1 + |z|^2} - \frac{|z_1|^2 a_i \bar{a}_j}{(1 + |z|^2)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

for $i, j = 2, \dots, n$. We want to compute the determinant $\det(\tilde{g}_{i\bar{j}})$. In order to do this, we let

$$K_{i\bar{j}} = \delta_{ij} + a_i \bar{a}_j - \frac{1}{1 + |z|^2} (\bar{z}_i + \bar{z}_1 a_i) \overline{(\bar{z}_j + \bar{z}_1 a_j)}.$$

Then

$$(2.7) \quad \tilde{g}_{i\bar{j}} = \frac{1}{1 + |z|^2} K_{i\bar{j}}, \quad i, j = 2, \dots, n.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} A &= (a_2, \dots, a_n); \\ B &= (\bar{z}_2 + \bar{z}_1 a_2, \dots, \bar{z}_n + \bar{z}_1 a_n). \end{aligned}$$

Then the matrix $K = (K_{i\bar{j}})$ can be represented by

$$K = I + A^T \bar{A} - \frac{1}{1 + |z|^2} B^T \bar{B}.$$

A straightforward computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} KA^T &= (1 + |a|^2)A^T - \frac{1}{1 + |z|^2} (\bar{B}A^T)B^T; \\ KB^T &= (\bar{A}B^T)A^T + (1 - \frac{|B|^2}{1 + |z|^2})B^T. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the vector space spanned by the vectors A, B is K -invariant. Furthermore, on the complement of the vector space, K is the identity. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det K &= (1 + |a|^2)(1 - \frac{|B|^2}{1 + |z|^2}) + \frac{1}{1 + |z|^2} |\bar{B}A^T|^2 \\ (2.8) \quad &= \frac{1}{1 + |z|^2} (1 + |a|^2 + |\sum_{i=2}^n a_i z_i - z_1|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Let f be the defining function of M on U_0 , i.e.

$$f = F(1, \frac{Z_i}{Z_0}, \dots, \frac{Z_n}{Z_0}) = \frac{F}{Z_0^d}.$$

Then

$$(2.9) \quad \frac{\partial z_1}{\partial z_k} = -\frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_k}}{\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}} = -\frac{F_k}{F_1}, \quad (k = 2, \dots, n)$$

where we define $F_k = \frac{\partial F}{\partial Z_k}$ for $k = 0, \dots, n$. Thus by the homogeneity of F , we have

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{i=2}^n a_i z_i - z_1 &= -\sum_{i=2}^n \frac{Z_i}{Z_0} \frac{F_i}{F_1} - \frac{Z_1}{Z_0} \\ &= -\frac{1}{Z_0 F_1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n Z_i F_i \right) = \frac{F_0}{F_1} \end{aligned}$$

on M . Using (2.7) and (2.8), we have

$$(2.11) \quad \det \tilde{g}_{i\bar{j}} = \frac{1}{(1 + |z|^2)^n} \frac{1}{|F_1|^2} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n |F_k|^2 \right).$$

Then by (2.3)

$$\det \tilde{g}_{i\bar{j}} = \frac{1}{(1 + |z|^2)^{n-d+1}} \cdot \frac{1}{|\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}|^2} \cdot e^\xi.$$

(2.4) follows from the formula of the Ricci curvature and the above equation. $\square$

In order to represent the K energy in terms of the polynomial F , we need the following purely algebraic lemma:

Lemma 2.2. *With the same notations as above, let η be a $(1, 1)$ form on CP^n . Let $\pi : C^{n+1} \rightarrow CP^n$ be the projection. Let*

$$(2.12) \quad \pi^* \eta = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \sum_{i,j=0}^n \tilde{a}_{i\bar{j}} dZ_i \wedge d\bar{Z}_j.$$

Then on M ,

$$(2.13) \quad \eta \wedge \omega^{n-2} = \frac{|Z|^2}{n-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \tilde{a}_{i\bar{i}} - \frac{\sum_{i,j=0}^n \tilde{a}_{i\bar{j}} F_j \bar{F}_i}{|\nabla F|^2} \right) \omega^{n-1}$$

for $|Z|^2 = \sum_{i=0}^n |Z_i|^2$.

Remark 2.1. The righthanded side of (2.13) is well defined because $\tilde{a}_{i\bar{j}}$ for $i, j = 0, \dots, n$ are homogeneous functions of order (-2) .

Proof. As in the proof of the previous lemma, we can consider the problem only on $U_0 \cap \{\frac{\partial F}{\partial Z_1} \neq 0\}$, without losing generality. Define $A_{i\bar{j}}$

CP^n as follows:

$$(2.14) \quad \eta \wedge \omega^{n-2} = \left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\right)^{n-1} (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(n-1)(n-2)} \cdot \sum_{i,j=1}^n (-1)^{i+j} A_{i\bar{j}} dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{dz}^i \cdots \wedge dz^n \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{d\bar{z}}^j \cdots \wedge d\bar{z}_n,$$

where “ $\hat{}$ ” means omit. Define

$$b = (1, -a_2, \dots, -a_n) = \left(1, -\frac{\partial z_1}{\partial z_2}, \dots, -\frac{\partial z_1}{\partial z_n}\right) = \left(1, \frac{F_2}{F_1}, \dots, \frac{F_n}{F_1}\right).$$

Then by (2.14), we have

$$(2.15) \quad \eta \wedge \omega^{n-2} = \left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\right)^{n-1} (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(n-1)(n-2)} \cdot \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{i\bar{j}} b_i \bar{b}_j dz_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz^n \wedge d\bar{z}^2 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\bar{z}^n$$

on M . Thus in order to prove (2.13), we just need to compute $\sum A_{i\bar{j}} b_i \bar{b}_j$. To this end, let

$$(2.16) \quad \eta = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{k\bar{l}} dz_k \wedge d\bar{z}_l,$$

and fix r, s . By (2.14), we have

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} dz_r \wedge d\bar{z}_s \wedge \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} a_{k\bar{l}} dz_k \wedge d\bar{z}_l \wedge \omega^{n-2} \\ &= \left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\right)^n (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(n-1)(n-2)} (-1)^{n-1} A_{r\bar{s}} dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\bar{z}_n. \end{aligned}$$

We also have the following algebraic fact:

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} dz_r \wedge d\bar{z}_s \wedge \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} a_{k\bar{l}} dz_k \wedge d\bar{z}_l \wedge \omega^{n-2} \\ &= \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \left(\sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^n (g^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} a_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}) g^{r\bar{s}} - \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^n g^{\alpha\bar{s}} g^{r\bar{\beta}} a_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \right) \omega^n. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.5), we have

$$(2.19) \quad \omega^n = \left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\right)^n n! (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)} \frac{1}{(1+|z|^2)^{n+1}} dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\bar{z}_n.$$

Comparing (2.17), (2.18) and (2.19), we have

$$(2.20) \quad A_{r\bar{s}} = \frac{(n-2)!}{(1+|z|^2)^{n+1}} \left(\sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^n g^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} a_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} g^{r\bar{s}} - \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^n g^{\alpha\bar{s}} g^{r\bar{\beta}} a_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \right),$$

for $r, s = 1, \dots, n$. By (2.20), we have

$$(2.21) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{i\bar{j}} b_i \bar{b}_j = \frac{(n-2)!}{(1+|z|^2)^{n+1}} \cdot \left(\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^n g^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} a_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \sum_{i,j=1}^n g^{i\bar{j}} b_i \bar{b}_j - \sum_{i,j,\alpha,\beta=1}^n g^{\alpha\bar{j}} g^{i\bar{\beta}} a_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} b_i \bar{b}_j \right).$$

We need the following

Lemma 2.3. *Using the same notations as above, we have*

$$(2.22) \quad \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^n g^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} a_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = |Z_0|^2 (1+|z|^2) \sum_{i=0}^n \tilde{a}_{i\bar{i}},$$

$$(2.23) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n g^{i\bar{j}} b_i \bar{b}_j = (1+|z|^2) \frac{|\nabla F|^2}{|F_1|^2},$$

$$(2.24) \quad \sum_{i,j,\alpha,\beta=1}^n g^{\alpha\bar{j}} g^{i\bar{\beta}} a_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} b_i \bar{b}_j = |Z_0|^2 (1+|z|^2)^2 \frac{\sum_{\alpha,\beta=0}^n \tilde{a}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \bar{F}_\alpha F_\beta}{|F_1|^2},$$

where $\tilde{a}_{i\bar{j}}$ is defined in (2.12).

Proof. Comparing (2.12) and (2.16), we have

$$(2.25) \quad \begin{cases} a_{k\bar{l}} = \tilde{a}_{k\bar{l}} \cdot |Z_0|^2, & k, l \neq 0; \\ \sum_{i=1}^n z_i a_{i\bar{l}} = -\tilde{a}_{0\bar{l}} \cdot |Z_0|^2, & l \neq 0; \\ \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{z}_j a_{k\bar{j}} = -\tilde{a}_{k\bar{0}} \cdot |Z_0|^2, & k \neq 0; \\ \sum_{i,j=1}^n z_i \bar{z}_j a_{i\bar{j}} = \tilde{a}_{0\bar{0}} \cdot |Z_0|^2. \end{cases}$$

Since $g^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = (1+|z|^2)(\delta_{\alpha\beta} + z_\alpha \bar{z}_\beta)$, by (2.25), we have

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^n g^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} a_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = (1+|z|^2) \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^n (\delta_{\alpha\beta} + z_\alpha \bar{z}_\beta) a_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = |Z|^2 \sum_{\alpha=0}^n \tilde{a}_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}.$$

This proves (2.22). By (2.10), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n z_i b_i = -\frac{F_0}{F_1}$$

on M . Thus (2.23) and (2.24) follow from a straightforward computation using the above equation. $\square$

Continuation of the Proof of Lemma 2.2. By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$(2.26) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^n g^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} a_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \sum_{i,j=1}^n g^{i\bar{j}} b_i \bar{b}_j - \sum_{i,j,\alpha,\beta=1}^n g^{\alpha\bar{j}} g^{i\bar{\beta}} a_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} b_i \bar{b}_j \\ &= |Z_0|^2 (1+|z|^2)^2 \frac{|\nabla F|^2}{|F_1|^2} \left(\tilde{a}_{i\bar{i}} - \frac{\tilde{a}_{i\bar{j}} F_j \bar{F}_i}{|\nabla F|^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.11),

$$(2.27) \quad \omega^{n-1} = \left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \right)^{n-1} (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(n-1)(n-2)} \frac{(n-1)!}{(1+|z|^2)^n} \frac{|\nabla F|^2}{|F_1|^2} \cdot dz_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\bar{z}_n.$$

(2.13) follows from (2.15), (2.21), (2.26) and (2.27). $\square$

Lemma 2.4. *Let ξ be the function defined in (2.3) and let θ be defined in (2.2). Then we have*

$$(2.28) \quad \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial\xi \wedge \bar{\partial}\theta \wedge \omega^{n-2} = \frac{1}{n-1} \left(- \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\frac{XF}{|\nabla F|^2} \right)_k \bar{F}_k + \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_k |F_k|^2}{|\nabla F|^2} - (d-1)\theta \right) \omega^{n-1}.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$(2.29) \quad \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \int_M \partial\xi \wedge \bar{\partial}\theta \wedge \omega^{n-2} = - \frac{1}{n-1} \int_M \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\frac{XF}{|\nabla F|^2} \right)_k \bar{F}_k \omega^{n-1} + \frac{n-d+1}{n-1} \int_M \theta \omega^{n-1}.$$

Proof. Let $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \eta = \partial\xi \wedge \bar{\partial}\theta$ and let

$$\pi^* \eta = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \sum_{i,j=0}^n \tilde{a}_{i\bar{j}} dZ_i \wedge d\bar{Z}_j.$$

Then we have

$$\tilde{a}_{i\bar{j}} = \frac{\partial\xi}{\partial Z_i} \cdot \frac{\partial\theta}{\partial \bar{Z}_j}.$$

A straightforward computation gives

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \tilde{a}_{i\bar{i}} = \frac{- \sum_{k=0}^n (XF)_k \bar{F}_k + \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_k |F_k|^2}{|Z|^2 |\nabla F|^2} - (d-1) \frac{\theta}{|Z|^2},$$

and

$$\frac{\sum_{i,j=0}^n \tilde{a}_{i\bar{j}} F_j \bar{F}_i}{|\nabla F|^2} = - \frac{XF \cdot \sum_{i,k=0}^n F_{ik} \bar{F}_i \bar{F}_k}{|Z|^2 |\nabla F|^4}$$

on M . Thus by Lemma 2.2, we got (2.28). Using Lemma 2.2 again by setting $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \eta = \partial\bar{\partial}\theta$, we have

$$(2.30) \quad \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial\bar{\partial}\theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \frac{1}{n-1} (-n\theta + \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_k |F_k|^2}{|\nabla F|^2}) \omega^{n-1}.$$

(2.29) follows from (2.28), (2.30) and the Stokes Theorem. $\square$

Although not needed in this paper, we give a simple proof of the following formula for the Futaki invariant in [2] as an application of Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.4.

Corollary 2.1. *Let M be a smooth hypersurface in CP^n defined by the homogeneous polynomial $F = 0$ of degree d . Let X be a vector in CP^n satisfying*

$$(2.31) \quad XF = \kappa F.$$

The Futaki invariant is defined as

$$\mathcal{F}(X) = - \int_M X(\xi) \omega^{n-1}.$$

Then

$$(2.32) \quad \mathcal{F}(X) = - \frac{(n+1)(d-1)}{n} \kappa.$$

Proof. We have

$$(2.33) \quad i(X)\omega = -\bar{\partial}\theta.$$

Since X leave M invariant, we have

$$0 = \int_M i(X)(\partial\xi \wedge \omega^{n-1}) = \int_M X\xi \omega^{n-1} + (n-1) \int_M \partial\xi \wedge \bar{\partial}\theta \wedge \omega^{n-2}.$$

By the above equation and (2.31), we have

$$\mathcal{F}(X) = - \int_M \omega^{n-1} + (n-d+1) \int_M \theta \omega^{n-1}.$$

By [2, Theorem 5.1], we have

$$\int_M \theta \omega^{n-1} = \frac{\kappa}{n}.$$

(2.32) follows from the above two equations. □

Finally, we have the following

Theorem 2.1. *The K energy $\mathcal{M}(t)$ can be represented as*

$$(2.34) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(t) = & \frac{2}{d} \int_0^t \left(\int_{M_\tau} \frac{1}{\tau} \left(- \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\frac{XF_\tau}{|\nabla F_\tau|^2} \right)_k \overline{(F_\tau)_k} \omega^{n-1} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + (n-d+1) \int_{M_\tau} \theta \omega^{n-1} \right) d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$F_\tau(Z_0, \dots, Z_n) = F(\tau^{-\lambda_0} Z_0, \dots, \tau^{-\lambda_n} Z_n),$$

and M_τ is the zero set of $F_\tau = 0$. In particular, we have

(2.35)

$$t \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{M}(t) = \frac{2}{d} \left(- \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\frac{XF_t}{|\nabla F_t|^2} \right)_k \overline{(F_t)_k} \omega^{n-1} + (n-d+1) \int_{M_t} \theta \omega^{n-1} \right).$$

Proof. The theorem follows from Prop 2.1, Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.4. $\square$

3. THE LIMIT OF THE DERIVATIVE OF THE K ENERGY

In this section, we compute the limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t \mathcal{M}'(t)$ using Proposition 2.1. First, we need some combinatoric preparations.

Let $(\delta_i, \sigma_i), i = 0, \dots, p$ be a sequence of pair of nonnegative rational numbers. $\delta_0 = 0$. We assume that the sequence is “generic” in the sense that

1. All $\delta_i, (i = 0, \dots, p)$ are distinct numbers (that implies $\delta_i > 0, i = 1, \dots, p$);
2. None of the three lines defined by $\psi_i(x) = \delta_i + \sigma_i x, (i = 0, \dots, p)$ intersect at the same point.

Define $(i_k, r_k), (k = 0, \dots, m)$ inductively as follows: let $i_0 = 0, r_0 = 0$. If (i_k, r_k) has been defined, then

1. If for any $r > r_k$

$$\delta_{i_k} + \sigma_{i_k} r < \delta_i + \sigma_i r \quad (i \neq i_k),$$

then let $m = k$ and stop;

2. If not, then define i_{k+1} and $r_{k+1} > r_k$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad \delta_{i_k} + \sigma_{i_k} r_{k+1} = \delta_{i_{k+1}} + \sigma_{i_{k+1}} r_{k+1} \leq \delta_i + \sigma_i r_{k+1},$$

where $i = 1, \dots, p$. Since $(\delta_i, \sigma_i), i = 0, \dots, p$ are “generic”, the choice of (i_k, r_k) is unique for $(k = 0, \dots, m)$.

We have the following obvious

Lemma 3.1. $(i_k, r_k), (k = 0, 1, \dots)$ is a finite sequence. In particular, the sequence stops at (i_m, r_m) .

Proof. By the construction of i_k 's, we have

$$\sigma_{i_0} > \sigma_{i_1} > \dots > \sigma_{i_k} > \dots.$$

Thus all i_k 's must be distinct. But $0 \leq i_k \leq p$. So the length of the sequence is at most $p + 1$. $\square$

Let

$$(3.2) \quad \psi(x) = \min_{i \geq 0} (\delta_i + \sigma_i x).$$

The function $\psi(x)$ is a piecewise linear function, its derivative exists almost everywhere. $r_k, (k = 1, \dots, m)$ are the non-smooth points of $\psi(x)$.

Lemma 3.2. *Assuming that $\sigma_{i_m} = 0$, we have*

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-\delta_{i_k} + \delta_{i_{k+1}})(\sigma_{i_k} + \sigma_{i_{k+1}} - 1) = \int_0^\infty \psi'(x)(\psi'(x) - 1)dx.$$

Proof. First, let's remark that for x large enough, $\psi \equiv \delta_{i_m}$ is a constant. Thus the integral in the lemma is convergent.

By definition of $r_k (k = 0, \dots, m)$ in (3.1), we have

$$-\delta_{i_k} + \delta_{i_{k+1}} = (\sigma_{i_k} - \sigma_{i_{k+1}})r_{k+1}$$

for $k = 0, \dots, m-1$. Thus we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-\delta_{i_k} + \delta_{i_{k+1}})(\sigma_{i_k} + \sigma_{i_{k+1}} - 1) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} r_{k+1}(\sigma_{i_k}^2 - \sigma_{i_{k+1}}^2) + (\delta_{i_0} - \delta_{i_m}).$$

The second term of the above equation is equal to

$$- \int_0^\infty \psi'(x)dx.$$

For the first term, using the summation by parts, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} r_{k+1}(\sigma_{i_k}^2 - \sigma_{i_{k+1}}^2) = r_1(\sigma_{i_0})^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \sigma_{i_k}^2(r_{k+1} - r_k) = \int_0^\infty \psi'(x)^2 dx.$$

Combining the above two equations, we get (3.3). □

Consider the smooth hypersurface $M \subset CP^n$ defined by the polynomial $F = 0$ of degree d . Let $X = \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i Z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial Z_i}$ be the vector field for integers $(\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_n)$ such that $\sum \lambda_i = 0$. Let M_t be defined by the equation

$$(3.4) \quad F_t(Z_0, \dots, Z_n) = F(t^{-\lambda_0} Z_0, \dots, t^{-\lambda_n} Z_n).$$

We write F_t as

$$(3.5) \quad F_t = t^\delta \sum_{i=0}^p a_i t^{\delta_i} Z_0^{\alpha_0^i} \dots Z_n^{\alpha_n^i},$$

where $\delta_0 = 0$, and $\delta_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, p$. By (3.4), we have

$$(3.6) \quad X(Z_0^{\alpha_0^i} \dots Z_n^{\alpha_n^i}) = -(\delta_i + \delta) Z_0^{\alpha_0^i} \dots Z_n^{\alpha_n^i}$$

for $i = 0, \dots, p$.

In what follows we assume that the choice of $(\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is “generic” in the following sense:

1. All δ_i 's are distinct;
2. None of the three lines defined by $\delta_i + \alpha_k^i x$ for $i = 0, \dots, p$ intersect at the same points, where $k = 0, \dots, p$.

Without losing generality, we may assume that $\delta_0 = 0, a_0 = 1$ and $0 = \delta_0 < \delta_1 < \delta_2 < \dots < \delta_p$. We also assume that $a_0, \dots, a_p$ are all non-zero. Furthermore, since M is smooth, we see that for each $0 \leq k \leq n$, there is an $0 \leq i \leq p$ such that $\alpha_k^i = 0$.

Let $U_i = \{[Z_0, \dots, Z_n] \in CP^n \mid |Z_i| > \frac{1}{2}|Z_j|, j = 0, \dots, n\}$. Then $\cup U_i = CP^n$. Let $P_i = \{Z_i = 0\}$ and $P_{ij} = P_i \cap P_j$ for $i \neq j$ and $i, j = 0, \dots, n$. Let $\sigma > 0$ be chosen so that $\sigma < \frac{1}{d} \min_{i \geq 1}(\delta_i)$ (Note that $\min_{i \geq 1}(\delta_i) > 0$) and define

$$V_{ij}^t = \{z \mid d(z, P_{ij}) < |t|^\sigma, i \neq j, i, j = 0, \dots, n\},$$

where $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the distance induced by the Fubini-Study metric on CP^n .

By (3.5), we see that $t^{-\delta} F_t \rightarrow Z_0^{\alpha_0^0} \dots Z_n^{\alpha_n^0}$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Intuitively, M_t goes to the hyperplanes defined by $Z_0^{\alpha_0^0} \dots Z_n^{\alpha_n^0} = 0$. The following lemmas make this observation rigid.

Lemma 3.3. *There is a $\sigma_1 > \sigma$ such that for any $0 \leq k \leq n$ and*

$$[Z_0, \dots, Z_n] \in (M_t - \cup_{i,j=0}^n V_{ij}^t) \cap U_k,$$

one can find a unique $l \neq k$ such that

$$\left| \frac{Z_l}{Z_k} \right| < |t|^{\sigma_1}$$

for t small enough.

Proof. By (3.5) we have

$$(3.7) \quad |Z_0^{\alpha_0^0} \dots Z_n^{\alpha_n^0}| \leq 2^d \sum_{i=1}^p |a_i| |t|^{\min_{i \geq 1}(\delta_i)} |Z_k|^d.$$

Thus if for any $l \neq k$,

$$\left| \frac{Z_l}{Z_k} \right| \geq |t|^{\sigma_1},$$

we could have

$$|Z_0^{\alpha_0^0} \dots Z_n^{\alpha_n^0}| \geq |t|^{\sigma_1 d} |Z_k|^d.$$

This is a contradiction since we choose σ_1 such that

$$\sigma < \sigma_1 < \frac{1}{d} \min_{i \geq 1}(\delta_i).$$

□

We are now going to prove that for t small enough, the connected components of $M_t \setminus \cup V_{ij}^t$ are graphs. We set

$$\tilde{P}_i = P_i - \cup_{j \neq i} V_{ij}^t,$$

and let

$$Q_i = \{[Z_0, \dots, Z_n] \mid [Z_0, \dots, Z_{i-1}, 0, Z_{i+1}, \dots, Z_n] \in \tilde{P}_i\},$$

for $i = 0, \dots, n$. By (1.3) and (1.4), we have

$$(3.8) \quad \varphi(x_0, \dots, x_n) = \text{Min}_{0 \leq i \leq p} (\delta + \delta_i + \alpha_0^i x_0 + \dots + \alpha_n^i x_n).$$

Remark 3.1. φ and $\varphi_i (i = 0, \dots, n)$ are defined even $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_n$ are not choosing “generically”. In the special case when

$$XF = \kappa F,$$

we have

$$\varphi_i(x) = -\kappa + (\text{Min}_{0 \leq j \leq p} \alpha_i^j)x$$

for $0 \leq i \leq n$. Thus if M is a normal variety, we have

$$\text{Min}_{0 \leq j \leq p} \alpha_i^j = 0 \text{ or } 1.$$

In particular, in this case

$$\varphi_i'(x)(\varphi_i'(x) - 1) = 0$$

for $0 \leq i \leq n$.

Proposition 3.1. *Using the notations as above, we have*

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{M_t \cap Q_i} \sum_{A=0}^n \left(\frac{XF_t}{|\nabla F_t|^2} \right)_A (\overline{F}_t)_A \omega^{n-1} \\ &= -\delta \alpha_i^0 - \int_0^\infty \varphi_i'(x)(\varphi_i'(x) - 1) dx + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 0, \dots, n$ as $t \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. For the sake of simplicity, we omit unimportant constants in an inequality. Thus in the proof of this proposition, $A \leq B$ means there is a constant C independent of t such that $A \leq CB$.

We just need to prove the theorem for the case $i = 1$. If $\alpha_1^0 = 0$, then the proposition is automatically true since $\varphi_1' \equiv 0$. Thus we assume that $\alpha_1^0 \geq 1$. We work on $M_t \cap Q_1 \cap U_0$, without losing generality.

We assume that $(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (\frac{Z_1}{Z_0}, \dots, \frac{Z_n}{Z_0})$ on U_0 . Then $F_t = 0$ can be written as

$$(3.10) \quad f = \sum_{i=0}^p a_i t^{\delta_i} z_1^{\alpha_1^i} \dots z_n^{\alpha_n^i} = 0$$

with $a_0 = 1$ and $\delta_0 = 0$ (see (3.5)). The sequence $(\delta_i, \alpha_1^i), (i = 0, \dots, p)$ is assumed to be a “generic” sequence mentioned at the beginning of this section.

For $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \tilde{P}_1 \cap U_0$, we have

$$|z_i| \geq |t|^\sigma,$$

for $i = 2, \dots, n$. Let $\xi_i^k (i = 1, \dots, \alpha_1^{i_k} - \alpha_1^{\alpha_{k+1}}, k = 1, \dots, m)$ be the $(\alpha_1^{i_k} - \alpha_1^{\alpha_{k+1}})$ -th roots of

$$-\frac{a_{i_{k+1}}}{a_{i_k}} t^{\delta_{i_{k+1}} - \delta_{i_k}} z_2^{\alpha_2^{i_{k+1}} - \alpha_2^{i_k}} \dots z_n^{\alpha_n^{i_{k+1}} - \alpha_n^{i_k}}.$$

Then we have the following

Lemma 3.4. *For $\sigma > 0$ small enough, there is a constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that the solutions of z_1 of $f = 0$ satisfies*

$$|z_1 - \xi_i^k| \leq |\xi_i^k| \cdot |t|^{\varepsilon_0}$$

for $(i = 1, \dots, \alpha_1^{i_k} - \alpha_1^{\alpha_{k+1}}, k = 1, \dots, m)$. Furthermore, the balls $B_i^k = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z - \xi_i^k| \leq |\xi_i^k| |t|^{\varepsilon_0}\}$ for $(i = 1, \dots, \alpha_1^{i_k} - \alpha_1^{\alpha_{k+1}}, k = 1, \dots, m)$ do not intersect each other.

Proof. In the proof, the scripts i, k are always running in $(i = 1, \dots, \alpha_1^{i_k} - \alpha_1^{\alpha_{k+1}}, k = 1, \dots, m)$, unless otherwise stated. We choose $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that

$$\varepsilon_1 < \min_{0 \leq k \leq m} \min_{i \neq i_k, i_{k+1}} (\delta_i + \alpha_1^i r_k - \varphi_1(r_k)).$$

Define f_k and g_k as follows

$$f_k = a_{i_k} t^{\delta_{i_k}} z_1^{\alpha_1^{i_k}} \dots z_n^{\alpha_n^{i_k}} + a_{i_{k+1}} t^{\delta_{i_{k+1}}} z_1^{\alpha_1^{i_{k+1}}} \dots z_n^{\alpha_n^{i_{k+1}}},$$

and

$$g_k = f - f_k.$$

By the definition of ξ_i^k , we have

$$|t|^{r_k + C\sigma} \leq |\xi_i^k| \leq |t|^{r_k - C\sigma}$$

for some constant C independent of t . We also have

$$|g_k| \leq |t|^{\varphi_1(r_k) + \varepsilon_1 - d\sigma}$$

on B_i^k and

$$|f_k| \geq |t|^{\varphi_1(r_k) + \varepsilon_0 + d\sigma}$$

on ∂B_i^k . We choose σ small enough such that $\varepsilon_1 - d\sigma > \frac{3}{4}\varepsilon_1$ and ε_0 small enough such that $\varepsilon_0 \leq \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon_1$. Thus we have

$$|f_k| > |g_k|$$

on ∂B_i^k . By the Rouché Theorem, f_k and $f = f_k + g_k$ have the same number of solutions in B_i^k . Since f_k has only one solution in B_i^k , we prove the first claim of the lemma. Next, if t is small enough, we have a constant C such that

$$|\xi_i^k - \xi_{i_1}^{k_1}| \geq C \max(|\xi_i^k|, |\xi_{i_1}^{k_1}|).$$

Thus if t is small enough, B_i^k 's do not intersect each other. □

Continuation of the proof of Proposition 3.1. For simplicity, let $F = F_t$. For fixed i, k , attaching the B_i^k in the above lemma for each $p \in \tilde{P}_1 \cap U_0$, we get a bundle $\tilde{B}_i^k$. On each $\tilde{B}_i^k$, by (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.11) \quad & \sum_{A=0}^n \left(\frac{XF}{|\nabla F|^2} \right)_A (\bar{F})_A = \frac{(XF)_1}{F_1} - \frac{(XF)F_{11}}{F_1^2} + o(1) \\
&= \frac{-(\delta + \delta_{i_k})\alpha_1^{i_k} + (\delta + \delta_{i_{k+1}})\alpha_1^{i_{k+1}}}{\alpha_1^{i_k} - \alpha_1^{i_{k+1}}} \\
&\quad - \frac{(-\delta_{i_k} + \delta_{i_{k+1}})(\alpha_1^{i_k}(\alpha_1^{i_k} - 1) - \alpha_1^{i_{k+1}}(\alpha_1^{i_{k+1}} - 1))}{(\alpha_1^{i_k} - \alpha_1^{i_{k+1}})^2} + o(1) \\
&= -\delta + \frac{-\delta_{i_k}\alpha_1^{i_k} + \delta_{i_{k+1}}\alpha_1^{i_{k+1}} + (\delta_{i_k} - \delta_{i_{k+1}})(\alpha_1^{i_k} + \alpha_1^{i_{k+1}} - 1)}{\alpha_1^{i_k} - \alpha_1^{i_{k+1}}} + o(1)
\end{aligned}$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$ for $k = 0, \dots, m-1$. By the same argument, the above equation is also true for $p \in \tilde{P}_1 \cap U_l$ for $l \neq 0$. Thus the equation is true for $p \in \tilde{P}_1$. On the other hand, by (3.10), we have

$$(3.12) \quad \det \pi = o(1)$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$, where $\pi : Q_1 \rightarrow \tilde{P}_1$ is the projection. Thus by (3.11) and (3.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{M_t \cap Q_1} \sum_{A=0}^n \left(\frac{XF_t}{|\nabla F_t|^2} \right)_A (\bar{F}_t)_A \omega^{n-1} \\
&= (-\delta\alpha_1^0 + \delta_{i_m} + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (\delta_{i_k} - \delta_{i_{k+1}})(\alpha_1^{i_k} + \alpha_1^{i_{k+1}})) \text{vol}(CP^{n-1}) + o(1)
\end{aligned}$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$, where $\alpha_1^m = 0$ by the smoothness of M . The proposition follows from Lemma 3.2 and the fact $\text{vol}(CP^{n-1}) = 1$. $\square$

Lemma 3.5. *Let p be a fixed point in M_t and let $d(x, p)$ be the distance from $x \in CP^n$ to x_0 defined by the Fubini-Study metric. Let $B_p(\varepsilon) = \{x \in CP^n | d(x, p) < \varepsilon\}$. Then there is a constant C independent of p, ε and t such that*

$$(3.13) \quad \int_{M_t \cap B_p(\varepsilon)} \omega^{n-1} \leq C\varepsilon^{2n-2}(\varepsilon^2 \log |t|^{-1} + \log \varepsilon^{-1}),$$

for t and ε small enough.

Proof. Consider the cut-off function $\rho : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\rho \geq 0$ is smooth, $\rho \equiv 1$ on $[0, 1]$ and $\rho \equiv 0$ on $(-\infty, -1] \cup [2, +\infty)$. Then we have

$$\int_{M_t \cap B_p(\varepsilon)} \omega^{n-1} \leq \int_{M_t} \rho\left(\frac{d(x, p)}{\varepsilon}\right) \omega^{n-1}.$$

Let F_t be the defining function of M_t . Then in the sense of distribution, we have

$$\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \frac{|F_t|^2}{(\sum_{i=0}^n |Z_i|^2)^d} = [M_t] - d\omega.$$

Thus we have

$$(3.14) \quad \int_{M_t} \rho \omega^{n-1} = d \int_{CP^n} \rho \omega^n + \int_{CP^n} \rho \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \frac{|F_t|^2}{(\sum_{i=0}^n |Z_i|^2)^d} \omega^{n-1}.$$

We have an easy estimate for the first term of the right hand side of (3.14):

$$(3.15) \quad \int_{CP^n} \rho \omega^n \leq C \varepsilon^{2n}.$$

For the second term, assume that $p \in U_0 = \{[Z_0, \dots, Z_n] \mid |Z_0| > \frac{1}{2}|Z_j|, j = 1, \dots, n\}$. Then by (3.5)

$$F_t = t^\delta Z_0^d f_t,$$

where $f_t \rightarrow f_0 = z_1^{\alpha_1^0} \dots z_n^{\alpha_n^0} \neq 0$. Thus using integration by parts, we have

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{CP^n} \rho \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \frac{|F_t|^2}{(\sum_{i=0}^n |Z_i|^2)^d} \omega^{n-1} \\ & \leq C \varepsilon^{2n} \log |t|^{-1} + \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \left| \int_{|z| \leq 2\varepsilon} \log |f_t| dV_0 \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where $dV_0 = (\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi})^n dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{z}_n$ is the Euclidean measure and $|z|^2 = |z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_n|^2$. By changing the variables, the second term of the above integral becomes

$$(3.17) \quad \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{|z| \leq 2\varepsilon} \log |f_t| dV_0 = C \varepsilon^{2n-2} \log \varepsilon^{-1} + C \varepsilon^{2n-2} \left| \int_{|z| \leq 2} \log |f_t| dV_0 \right|.$$

By a theorem of Phong and Sturm [4], we have

$$(3.18) \quad \int_{|z| \leq 2} \log |f_t|^{-1} dV_0 \leq C$$

for t small enough. (3.13) follows from (3.14), (3.15), (3.16), (3.17) and (3.9). $\square$

Lemma 3.6. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for t small*

$$\sum_{i \neq j} \int_{V_{ij}^t \cap M_t} \omega^{n-1} \leq C |t|^{2\sigma} \log |t|^{-1}.$$

Proof. Fixing i, j , there is a constant C_0 independent of ε such that one can find points $p_1, \dots, p_m \in P_{ij}$ for $m = [\frac{C_0}{\varepsilon^{2n-4}}]$, we have

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^m B_{p_k}(\varepsilon) \supset P_{ij}.$$

Thus by the above lemma, we have

$$\int_{V_{ij}^t \cap M_t} \omega^{n-1} \leq \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{M_t \cap B_{p_k}(|t|^\sigma + \varepsilon)} \omega^{n-1}.$$

By the Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\int_{V_{ij}^t \cap M_t} \omega^{n-1} \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^{2n-4}} (|t|^\sigma + \varepsilon)^{2n-2} ((|t|^\sigma + \varepsilon)^2 \log |t|^{-1} + \log(|t|^\sigma + \varepsilon)^{-1}).$$

The lemma follows from setting $\varepsilon = |t|^\sigma$. □

Lemma 3.7. *There exists a constant C independent of t such that for any measurable subset E of M_t*

$$\left| \int_E \partial \xi \wedge \bar{\partial} \theta \wedge \omega^{n-2} \right| \leq C \sqrt{\log |t|^{-1}} \cdot \sqrt{\text{meas}(E)},$$

where the functions ξ and θ are defined in (2.3) and (2.2), respectively.

Proof. Since M_t is a submanifold, the Ricci curvature has an upper bound. Thus from (2.3), we have a constant C such that

$$(3.19) \quad -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \xi \leq C \omega$$

On the other hand, since $[t^{\lambda_0} Z_0, \dots, t^{\lambda_n} Z_n] \in M_t$ iff $[Z_0, \dots, Z_n] \in M$, we have

$$|\nabla F_t|^2(t^{\lambda_0} Z_0, \dots, t^{\lambda_n} Z_n) = \sum_{l=0}^n |t|^{-2\lambda_l} |F_k|^2(Z_0, \dots, Z_n).$$

Since M is smooth, we have

$$-C \log |t|^{-1} \leq |\xi| \leq C \log |t|^{-1}$$

for some constant C . Using integration by parts, from (3.19), and the above estimate, we have

$$\int_{M_t} |\nabla \xi|^2 \omega^{n-1} \leq C \int_{M_t} (|\xi| + C \log |t|^{-1}) \omega^{n-1} \leq C \log |t|^{-1}.$$

If E is a measurable subset of M_t , then we have

$$\left| \int_E \partial \xi \wedge \bar{\partial} \theta \wedge \omega^{n-2} \right| \leq \int_E |\partial \xi| \leq C \log |t|^{-1} \sqrt{\text{meas}(E)},$$

by the Cauchy inequality. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Proposition 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.20) \quad & \sum_{i=0}^n \int_{M_t \cap \bigcup Q_i} \sum_{A=0}^n \left(\frac{XF_t}{|\nabla F_t|^2} \right)_A (\overline{F}_t)_A \omega^{n-1} \\
&= -\delta d - \sum_{i=0}^n \int_0^\infty \varphi'_i(x) (\varphi'_i(x) - 1) dx + o(1)
\end{aligned}$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$. We are going to prove that

$$(3.21) \quad \int_{M_t \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^n Q_i} \sum_{A=0}^n \left(\frac{XF_t}{|\nabla F_t|^2} \right)_A (\overline{F}_t)_A \omega^{n-1} = o(1)$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$. In order to see this, let's recall that we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{M_t \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^n Q_i} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \xi \wedge \bar{\partial} \theta \wedge \omega^{n-2} \\
&= \frac{1}{n-1} \left(- \int_{M_t \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^n Q_i} \sum_{A=0}^n \left(\frac{XF_t}{|\nabla F_t|^2} \right)_A (\overline{F}_t)_A \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i |(F_t)_i|^2}{|\nabla F_t|^2} - (d-1)\theta \right) \omega^{n-1}
\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.4. Since θ and the function $\frac{\sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i |(F_t)_i|^2}{|\nabla F_t|^2}$ are bounded, we have

$$\left| \sum_{A=0}^n \left(\frac{XF_t}{|\nabla F_t|^2} \right)_A (\overline{F}_t)_A \right| \leq \int_{M_t \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^n Q_i} (|\partial \xi| + 1) \omega^{n-1},$$

by (2.28). By Lemma 3.7 the righthanded side of the above equation is less than or equal to

$$C \sqrt{\log |t|^{-1}} \sqrt{\text{meas}(M_t \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^n Q_i) + \text{meas}(M_t \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^n Q_i)}.$$

If we can prove that there is a constant C such that

$$(3.22) \quad M_t \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^n Q_i \subset \bigcup_{i \neq j} V_{ij}^{Ct}.$$

Then (3.21) will follow from Lemma 3.6. To see (3.22), let's consider a point $p \in M_t \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^n Q_i$. Without losing generality, we assume that $p \in U_0$. By (3.7), we can find a $k \neq 0$ such that

$$|Z_k| \leq |t|^\sigma |Z_0|$$

for t small enough. By definition, $p \notin Q_k$. Thus there is a $j \neq 0, k$ such that

$$|Z_j| \leq |t|^\sigma |Z_0|$$

Thus $p \in V_{jk}^{Ct}$ for some constant C . (3.22) is proved.

Combining (3.20) and (3.21), we have

$$\int_{M_t} \sum_{A=0}^n \left(\frac{XF_t}{|\nabla F_t|^2} \right)_A (\bar{F}_t)_A \omega^{n-1} = -\delta d - \sum_{i=0}^n \int_0^\infty \varphi'_i(x)(\varphi'_i(x) - 1)dx + o(1)$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$. Finally, since θ is a bounded function

$$\int_{M_t} \theta \omega^{n-1} = \int_{M_0} \theta \omega^{n-1} + o(1)$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$, where M_0 is defined as the zero set of $Z_0^{\alpha_0} \cdots Z_n^{\alpha_n} = 0$ counting the multiplicity. In [2, Theorem 5.1], it is proved that

$$\int_{M_0} \theta \omega^{n-1} = -\frac{\delta}{n}.$$

By (2.35), we have

$$t\mathcal{M}'(t) = \frac{2}{d} \left(\frac{\delta(n+1)(d-1)}{n} + \sum_{i=0}^n \int_0^\infty \varphi'_i(x)(\varphi'_i(x) - 1)dx \right) + o(1)$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$ and Theorem 1.1 is proved. □

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Ding and G. Tian. Kähler-Einstein metrics and the generalized Futaki invariant. *Invent Math*, 110:315–335, 1992.
- [2] Z. Lu. On the Futaki invariants of complete intersections. *Duke Math. J.*, 100(2):359–372, 1999.
- [3] T. Mabuchi. K -energy maps integrating Futaki invariants. *Tohoku Math J.*, 38:245–257, 1986.
- [4] D. H. Phong and J. Sturm. Algebraic estimates, stability of local zeta functions, and uniform estimates for distribution functions. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 152(1):277–329, 2000.
- [5] G. Tian. On Calabi’s conjecture for complex surfaces with positive first Chern class. *Invent. Math*, 101(1):101–172, 1990.
- [6] G. Tian. The K -energy on hypersurfaces and stability. *Comm. Anal. Geom*, 2(2):239–265, 1994.
- [7] G. Tian. Kähler-Einstein metrics with positive scalar curvature. *Invent. Math*, 137:1–37, 1997.
- [8] M. Yotov. On the generalized Futaki invariant. $n^\circ(475)$ L’Institut Fourier, 1999.

(Zhiqin Lu) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE, IRVINE, CA 92697

E-mail address, Zhiqin Lu: zlu@math.uci.edu